

IN THIS ISSUE...

- 1 Department of Labor Proposes Update to Disability Benefit Claims Regulations
- 4 Various Filing Deadlines Updated in Transportation Bill
- 5 Firm News

Department of Labor Proposes Update to Disability Benefit Claims Regulations

CLARISSA A. KANG



On November 18, 2015, the Department of Labor (“DOL”) published proposed regulations to update plans’ internal claims and appeals procedures for determining disability benefit claims. The proposal is meant to bring in line the adjudication of disability benefit claims with claims and appeals procedures for non-grandfathered group health plans under the Affordable Care Act (“ACA”). The update reflects the first refresh since the current regulations governing the processing of claims and appeals were published 15 years ago. The DOL has asked for public comments on the proposal within the next 60 day period.

The proposal largely adopts, with some modification, the procedural protections afforded to health claims under the ACA. The November 18 publication of the proposed disability claims regulations in the Federal Register coincided with the same-day publication of final regulations on the internal claims and appeals processes for non-grandfathered group health plans under the ACA, which finally adopted the interim final regulations and amendments that were issued in 2010 and 2011.

The DOL’s proposal seeks modification of the existing claims and appeals procedures for disability claims in the following areas:

1. Explicitly requiring plans to “ensure that all disability benefit claims and appeals are adjudicated in a manner designed to ensure the independence and impartiality of the persons involved in making the decision.” Under the proposal, decisions regarding hiring, compensation, termination, promotion, or similar matters regarding the claims adjudicator or medical expert must not be made based on the likelihood that the individual will support the denial of benefits.

TRUCKER ♦ HUSS

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
ERISA AND EMPLOYEE
BENEFITS ATTORNEYS
www.truckerhuss.com

One Embarcadero Center, 12th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-3617
Tel: (415) 788-3111
Fax: (415) 421-2017
Email: info@truckerhuss.com

Trucker ♦ Huss Recognized as 2016 Best Law Firm

Trucker ♦ Huss has been selected by *Best Lawyers*®
as a Tier 1 National “Best Law Firm” in ERISA Litigation
and a Tier 1 San Francisco “Best Law Firm” in Employee Benefits Law.

The *U.S. News – Best Lawyers*®
“Best Law Firms” rankings are based
on client evaluations, peer review
from other attorneys and other
research analysis.



2. Requiring that benefit denial notices fully discuss the reasons for the denial and the internal rules, guidelines, protocols, and standards on which the denial is based. Adverse benefit determinations for disability benefit claim would have to include the basis for the decision's disagreement with any disability determination by the Social Security Administration, a treating medical provider, or other source of disability benefits, if the plan did not agree with those determinations. The notice of an adverse benefit determination would also need to state that the claimant is entitled to receive, upon request, relevant documents (a right previously only required to be afforded on denials of benefit appeals).
3. Explicitly providing that claimants have the right to review and respond to new evidence or a new rationale for a denial in advance of the appeal decision, and facilitating claimants' access to their entire claim file and claimants' ability to present written evidence and testimony to the decision makers.
4. Strengthening required adherence by plans to the claims processing rules by allowing a claimant to bring his or her disability benefits claim to court without completing the plan's internal claims and appeals process (effectuating deemed exhaustion of the administrative remedies under the plan) where a plan deviates from the mandated claims process, except only under limited circumstances. Where deemed exhaustion occurs, the proposal provides that the reviewing court should review the plan's decision *de novo*, without any deference. Also, if the court rejects a claimant's attempt to seek judicial review under deemed exhaustion, the proposal provides that the claim would be considered as re-filed on appeal upon the plan's receipt of the court's decision, thereby providing the claimant with an opportunity to present evidence and testimony to the appeals decision maker.

5. Treating certain rescissions of coverage (*i.e.*, cancellations or discontinuance of disability coverage retroactively regardless of whether there is an resulting adverse effect on any particular benefit) as adverse benefit determinations, which thereby allows a claimant to submit an appeal through the plan's internal appeals process. Unlike the definition of rescission in the final rules for non-grandfathered group health plans under the ACA, the proposed definition of rescission for the disability claims would not be limited to cancellations of coverage occurring as a result of fraud or intentional misrepresentation of material fact.
6. Requiring notices to be written in a culturally and linguistically appropriate manner, as defined under the regulations, similar to that required for non-grandfathered group health plans under the final rules under the ACA. If the claimant's address is in a county where ten percent or more of the population, as determined by U.S. Census Bureau data, is literate only in the same non-English language, notices of benefit determinations need to include a statement in the applicable non-English language about the ability to access a telephone number for assistance in that non-English language and the ability to request written notices in the non-English language upon request. The languages relevant to this proposed rule are currently Spanish, Chinese, Tagalog, and Navajo.
7. Clarifying, via a technical correction, that the quarterly meeting rule in the current claims regulations (which extend the time for deciding disability claims for decision makers that meet only on a quarterly basis) is applicable only for multiemployer plans.

While soliciting comments in general on the proposal, the DOL has also expressly requested comments on two specific topics. First, it seeks comments regarding whether it should modify the existing timing rules for deciding disability benefit claims to allow claimants and plans sufficient time to engage in a dialogue regarding new evidence and rationales prior to the determination of the claim or appeal. The proposed disability regulations did not adopt the tolling provision from the final rule for non-grandfathered health plans under the ACA, but the DOL appears open to considering some kind of modification to the existing timing rules under the current disability claims regulations. Second, the DOL seeks comments on whether the regulations should require plans to provide, in the final notice of adverse benefit determination on appeal, a statement of the plan's applicable contractual limitations period and the period's expiration date. The DOL suggested that perhaps there should be a required disclosure, in light of the Supreme Court's decision in *Heimeshoff v. Hartford Life & Accident Ins. Co.* that upheld the use of contractual limitations periods in plans as long as the periods were reasonable and in light of the disagreement among courts regarding whether plans must provide notice of such contractual limitations periods in their adverse benefit determinations. See our article about a recent court decision on this issue in the [September Benefits Report](#).

The DOL's proposal regarding disability claims was not surprising in light of the existing connection between disability claims and health claims requirements under the 2000 DOL claims regulations (the existing claims regulations applicable to disability claims) and in light of the new required procedures for health plan claims under the ACA. It is likely that the proposal will be adopted by the DOL in substantially (albeit, not exactly) the same form, because of the proposal's firm grounding in the final regulations regarding adjudication of group health plan claims. Stay tuned for a future update.

Various Filing Deadlines Updated in Transportation Bill

MIKAELA C. HABIB



The recently enacted Surface Transportation and Veterans Health Care Choice Improvement Act of 2015 (the "Act") includes changes to various reporting deadlines for corporations, partnerships, and certain tax-exempt entities. Included are the filing deadlines for the Form 5500 (Annual Return/Report of Employee Benefit Plan), the Form 990 (Return of Organization Exempt from Income Tax), the FinCEN Report 114 (FBAR) and the Form 1120 (US Corporation Income Tax Return).

Under the Act, the deadline for C Corporations to file the Form 1120 is changed from the 15th day of the third month (March 15th for calendar year taxpayers) to the 15th day of the fourth month (April 15th for calendar year taxpayers). The extension period has been shortened from 6 months to 5 months through 2025; therefore, the extended filing deadline will remain the same (September 15th for calendar year taxpayers) until 2025.

The Act also provides that the Secretary of the Treasury will modify the appropriate regulations to increase the length of extensions available for the following:

- **Form 5500:**

The due date for the Form 5500 is 7 months following the end of the plan year (for calendar year plans, by July 31st). Currently, an extension is available upon request for an additional 2 1/2 months from the end of the plan year (for calendar year plans, until October 15th). The Act will extend the extension from 2 1/2 months to 3 1/2 months (for calendar year plans, until November 15th).

- **Form 990:**

The due date for the Form 990 is the 15th day of the 5th month following the end of the tax year (for calendar year taxpayers, by May 15th). Currently, an extension is available upon request for an additional 3 months (for calendar year taxpayers, until August 15th). The Act will extend the extension from 3 months to 6 months (for calendar year taxpayers, until November 15th).

- **FBAR:**

The due date for the FBAR is June 30th following the end of the calendar year being reported. Currently, there is no extension available for the FBAR. The Act will change the filing due date from June 30th to April 15th, however, a 6-month extension will be available (until October 15th).

Although not addressed in the Act, other deadlines applicable to employee benefit plans that are based on the above-described filing deadlines may be affected. For example, the filing of the Form 8955-SSA, Annual Registration Statement Identifying Separated Participants with Deferred Vested Benefits, and the distribution of the Summary Annual Report to participants, are based on the deadline to file the Form 5500. We expect that any changes to dependent filing deadlines will be addressed in forthcoming Treasury regulations.

The Act specifies that these changes will go into effect for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2016 (for forms that will be filed in 2017). We will provide further updates once the Treasury regulations have been issued.

FIRM NEWS

Nominated by Queen's Bench, **Clarissa Kang** (right) received a 2015 Unity Award from the Minority Bar Coalition, a network of over 25 diverse bar associations in the Greater Bay Area, in recognition of her commitment to advancing the cause of diversity and inclusion in the legal profession. Clarissa has been a tireless advocate on behalf of women and minorities during her entire legal career and since 2011 has served on the Board of Directors of Queen's Bench, a bar association that fosters professional and social relationships among women lawyers and promotes equality and opportunity for all women through education, programs, and community outreach. She also serves as a subcommittee liaison to the ABA Labor and Employment Law Section's Diversity in the Legal Profession Committee.

On November 5, **Trucker ♦ Huss** hosted its first annual Southern California Employee Benefits Briefing. This complimentary seminar covered developments in legal and regulatory changes affecting retirement plans, updates in health care reform, new developments in ERISA litigation and updates on executive compensation.

On November 17, **Brad Huss** spoke at the American Conference Institute's event in New York entitled *Minimizing Legal Risks in the Design, Implementation & Administration of Employee Benefit Plans*. Brad covered best practices to prepare and protect your plan during a DOL audit.

On December 3, **Elizabeth Loh** will be co-hosting a webinar entitled *The Cadillac Tax Part I: Fight the Future* with Brian Gilmore, ABD Lead Benefits Counsel, VP.



Queen's Bench President Jaime G. Touchstone and Clarissa Kang at Awards Ceremony

The Trucker ♦ Huss Benefits Report is published monthly to provide our clients and friends with information on recent legal developments and other current issues in employee benefits. Back issues of *Benefits Report* are posted on the Trucker ♦ Huss web site (www.truckerhuss.com).

Editor: Shannon Oliver, soliver@truckerhuss.com

In response to new IRS rules of practice, we inform you that any federal tax information contained in this writing cannot be used for the purpose of avoiding tax-related penalties or promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matters in this *Benefits Report*.